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Abstract—We have considered the general case of a collector with four reflectors. An analytical model is developed for study of the effect of an individual reflector on the collector. Reflectors R-1, R-2, R-3, and R-4 face south, north, west, and east, respectively. For a collector with two reflectors R-1 and R-2 on the southern and northern sides, up to 44% enhancement is achieved in the solar gain for December (winter) and up to 14% for May (summer). However, due to shadowing, two additional reflectors R-3 and R-4 on the eastern and western sides cause negligible additional solar gain. Without shadowing, enhancement in solar gain due to reflectors R-3 and R-4 is 14--36% for May and 44--56% for December for the Delhi climate.

INTRODUCTION

A flat-plate collector is the simplest means for converting solar energy into useful heat. A flat-plate collector collects both direct and diffuse components of incident solar radiation. If the collector surface is normal to the incoming solar radiation, the heat gain is a maximum. In practice, it is not always possible to tilt the collector surface towards the sun. In such cases, higher concentrations of solar radiation on the collector are achieved by the addition of one or more reflectors surrounding the collector. A reflector does not only increase the collection area but also redirects the solar radiation closer to normal incidence.

There is published literature on collector-reflector combinations. Tabor¹ studied the effect of a specular reflector on the collector by using a graphical method. McDaniel et al.² studied the effect of a planar reflector on the performance of a collector. Seital³ studied the effect of both diffuse and specular reflectors on the collector. Most of the published work deals with one reflector.⁴⁻⁷ Garg and Hrishikesan⁸ and Kaushik et al.⁹ considered two reflectors, one facing the southern side and the other the northern side of the collector, and optimized the tilts of these reflectors for maximum solar gain.

We consider a collector with four reflectors, one on each side of the collector. An analytical model is developed to evaluate the optimum reflectors tilt for maximum output from the collector. When the collector faces south and has a 0° tilt with respect to the horizontal, it is found that multiple reflectors can improve collector performance significantly.

ANALYSIS

The configuration of the collector–reflector system is shown in Fig. 1. The reflectors R-1, R-2, R-3, and R-4 are located at the four sides of the collector and are connected edge-to-edge to the collector. The tilts of the collector and reflectors are variable and the whole system has an off-south angle φ. Assumptions made in the analysis are: (i) the reflectors are specular with reflectivity ρs = 0.8. (ii) The collector surface is a blackened flat plate. (iii) The transmittance–absorptance products are functions of the angle of incidence. (iv) Shading by the collector and of reflectors on each other is neglected but shading of reflectors on the collector is considered. (v) Multiple reflection between reflectors is neglected. (vi) Addition of reflectors between the collector and sky does not affect the shape factor. (vii) Each month of the year is represented by an average day. Values of the constants used are given in Table 1. (viii) The analysis takes into account only absorption of solar radiation by the blackened plate.

The total energy absorbed by the collector is
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Fig. 1. A schematic representation of the collector–reflector assembly.

Table 1. Values of $A$, $B$, and $C$ for the representative day of each month.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$A$ (W/m²)</th>
<th>$B$</th>
<th>$C$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17 Jan.</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>0.1420</td>
<td>0.0580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Feb.</td>
<td>1217</td>
<td>0.1434</td>
<td>0.0590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Mar.</td>
<td>1191</td>
<td>0.1520</td>
<td>0.0690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Apr.</td>
<td>1146</td>
<td>0.1750</td>
<td>0.0920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 May</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>0.1930</td>
<td>0.1160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 June</td>
<td>1093</td>
<td>0.2020</td>
<td>0.1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 July</td>
<td>1086</td>
<td>0.2066</td>
<td>0.1356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Aug.</td>
<td>1102</td>
<td>0.2020</td>
<td>0.1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Sep.</td>
<td>1143</td>
<td>0.1816</td>
<td>0.0980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Oct.</td>
<td>1184</td>
<td>0.1630</td>
<td>0.0760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Nov.</td>
<td>1214</td>
<td>0.1510</td>
<td>0.0650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Dec.</td>
<td>1229</td>
<td>0.1440</td>
<td>0.0590</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
Q = (1 - S_{re}) \left[ (\alpha_r)_{eb} I_{eb} + (\alpha_r)_{de} I_{de} + \rho_s \left[ (\alpha_r)_{eb} I_{eb} \cos \theta_{eb} + (\alpha_r)_{de} I_{de} \cos \theta_{de} \right] \right. \\
+ \left. (\alpha_r)_{bc} I_{bc} \cos \theta_{bc} + (\alpha_r)_{cd} I_{cd} \cos \theta_{cd} \right],
\]

\[
S_{re} = S_{r1} + S_{r2} + S_{r3} + S_{r4},
\]
where the first term in the curly bracket of Eq. (1) stands for direct radiation incident on the collector, the second term is the diffuse component, and the third term is energy received by the collector from the reflectors. The first term within the square bracket is the energy from reflector R-1 absorbed by the collector. Similarly, the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th terms give the reflected energy on the collector due to reflectors R-2, R-3, and R-4, respectively. \( S_{1c}, S_{2c}, S_{3c}, \) and \( S_{4c} \) are shading factors on the collector due to reflectors R-1, R-2, R-3, and R-4, respectively.

The following relations are used to evaluate the beam and diffuse components of radiation on the collector and the beam radiation on the reflectors:

\[
I_{be} = I_{DN} \cos \theta_c, \quad I_{de} = C_1 I_{DN} f_{es}, \quad I_{br} = I_{DN} \cos \theta_r,
\]

\[
A, B \quad \text{and} \quad C \quad \text{are constants (see Table 1). The shape factor between the collector and the sky is}
\]

\[
f_{es} = \frac{(1 + \cos s_c)}{2}.
\]

If \( \phi \) is the off-south angle of the system, the unit vectors normal to the collector surface and reflectors are, respectively,

\[
\begin{align*}
\vec{n}_c &= \sin s_c \cos \phi \vec{i} + \sin s_c \sin \phi \vec{j} + \cos s_c \vec{k}, \\
\vec{n}_{r1} &= \sin s_{r1} \cos \phi \vec{i} + \sin s_{r1} \sin \phi \vec{j} + \cos s_{r1} \vec{k}, \\
\vec{n}_{r2} &= -\sin s_{r2} \cos \phi \vec{i} - \sin s_{r2} \sin \phi \vec{j} + \cos s_{r2} \vec{k}, \\
\vec{n}_{r3} &= \sin s_{r3} \cos \phi \vec{i} - \sin s_{r3} \sin \phi \vec{j} + \cos s_{r3} \vec{k}, \\
\vec{n}_{r4} &= -\sin s_{r4} \cos \phi \vec{i} + \sin s_{r4} \sin \phi \vec{j} + \cos s_{r4} \vec{k}.
\end{align*}
\]

The unit vector in the direction of the incoming beam radiation is

\[
\vec{n}_b = -\cos \beta \cos \gamma \vec{i} - \cos \beta \cos \gamma \vec{j} - \sin \beta \vec{k}.
\]

where

\[
\sin \beta = \cos L \cos \delta \cos H + \sin \delta \sin L, \quad \sin \gamma = \cos \delta \sin H / \cos \beta;
\]

\( \delta \) is the declination angle and is given by \( 23.45 \sin [360(284 + N)/365] \).

The angles of incidence on the collector and reflectors are

\[
\begin{align*}
\cos \theta_c &= \sin s_c \cos \beta \cos (\gamma - \phi) + \cos s_c \sin \beta, \\
\cos \theta_{r1} &= \sin s_{r1} \cos \beta \cos (\gamma - \phi) + \cos s_{r1} \sin \beta, \\
\cos \theta_{r2} &= -\sin s_{r2} \cos \beta \cos (\gamma - \phi) + \cos s_{r2} \sin \beta, \\
\cos \theta_{r3} &= -\sin s_{r3} \cos \beta \sin (\gamma - \phi) + \cos s_{r3} \sin \beta, \\
\cos \theta_{r4} &= \sin s_{r4} \cos \beta \sin (\gamma - \phi) + \cos s_{r4} \sin \beta.
\end{align*}
\]

The unit vectors in the directions of the reflected rays from the reflectors are, respectively, \( \vec{n}_{r1}, \vec{n}_{r2}, \vec{n}_{r3}, \) and \( \vec{n}_{r4} \) and may be expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
\vec{n}_{r1} &= u_1 \vec{i} + v_1 \vec{j} + w_1 \vec{k}, \\
\vec{n}_{r2} &= u_2 \vec{i} + v_2 \vec{j} + w_2 \vec{k}, \\
\vec{n}_{r3} &= u_3 \vec{i} + v_3 \vec{j} + w_3 \vec{k}, \\
\vec{n}_{r4} &= u_4 \vec{i} + v_4 \vec{j} + w_4 \vec{k},
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
u_1 &= -\cos \beta \cos \gamma + 2 \cos \theta_c \sin s_c \cos \phi, \\
v_1 &= -\cos \beta \sin \gamma + 2 \cos \theta_c \sin s_c \sin \phi, \\
w_1 &= -\sin \beta + 2 \cos \theta_c \cos s_c, \\
u_2 &= -\cos \beta \cos \gamma - 2 \cos \theta_c \sin s_c \cos \phi, \\
v_2 &= -\cos \beta \sin \gamma - 2 \cos \theta_c \sin s_c \sin \phi, \\
w_2 &= -\sin \beta + 2 \cos \theta_c \cos s_c
\end{align*}
\]
\[ u_3 = -\cos \beta \cos \gamma + 2 \cos \theta_s \sin r_3 \sin \phi, \]  
\[ v_3 = -\cos \beta \sin \gamma - 2 \cos \theta_s \sin r_3 \cos \phi, \]  
\[ w_3 = -\sin \beta + 2 \cos \theta_s \cos r_3, \]  
\[ u_4 = -\cos \beta \cos \gamma - 2 \cos \theta_s \sin r_4 \sin \phi, \]  
\[ v_4 = -\cos \beta \sin \gamma + 2 \cos \theta_s \sin r_4 \cos \phi, \]  
\[ w_4 = -\sin \beta + 2 \cos \theta_s \cos r_4. \]  

(13)

(14)

The expressions for the angles of incidence on the collector for the reflected rays are, respectively,

\[ \cos \theta_{r1} = -u_1 \sin s_1 \cos \phi - v_1 \sin s_1 \sin \phi - w_1 \cos s, \]  
\[ \cos \theta_{r2} = -u_2 \sin s_2 \cos \phi - v_2 \sin s_2 \sin \phi - w_2 \cos s, \]  
\[ \cos \theta_{r3} = -u_3 \sin s_3 \cos \phi - v_3 \sin s_3 \sin \phi - w_3 \cos s, \]  
\[ \cos \theta_{r4} = -u_4 \sin s_4 \cos \phi - v_4 \sin s_4 \sin \phi - w_4 \cos s_c. \]  

(15)

EVALUATION OF THE EXCHANGE AND SHADING FACTORS

The fraction of the total collector area illuminated by reflected radiation from a reflector is the exchange factor \( f_{r} \). It is a function of collector and reflector tilts, the relative dimensions of the collector–reflector assembly, and the position of the sun in the sky. As shown in Fig. 2, if \( \vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \vec{e}_3, \) and \( \vec{e}_4 \) are the position vectors of points on the incident solar rays after reflection, then

\[ \vec{e}_1 = e_{x1} \hat{i} + e_{y1} \hat{j} + e_{z1} \hat{k}, \]  
\[ \vec{e}_2 = e_{x2} \hat{i} + e_{y2} \hat{j} + e_{z2} \hat{k}, \]  
\[ \vec{e}_3 = e_{x3} \hat{i} + e_{y3} \hat{j} + e_{z3} \hat{k}, \]  
\[ \vec{e}_4 = e_{x4} \hat{i} + e_{y4} \hat{j} + e_{z4} \hat{k}. \]  

(16)

where

\[ e_{x1} = -(a/2) \sin \phi - b_1 \cos s_1 \cos \phi + C_{e1} u_1, \]  
\[ e_{y1} = -(a/2) \cos \phi - b_1 \cos s_1 \sin \phi + C_{e1} v_1, \]  
\[ e_{z1} = b_1 \sin s_1 + C_{e1} w_1, \]  
\[ e_{x2} = -(a/2) \sin \phi - b_2 \cos s_2 \cos \phi + C_{e2} u_2, \]  
\[ e_{y2} = -(a/2) \cos \phi - b_2 \cos s_2 \sin \phi + C_{e2} v_2, \]  
\[ e_{z2} = b_2 \sin s_2 + C_{e2} w_2, \]  
\[ e_{x3} = -(b_3/2) \cos \phi - b_3 \cos s_3 \cos \phi + C_{e3} u_3, \]  
\[ e_{y3} = -(b_3/2) \sin \phi - b_3 \cos s_3 \sin \phi + C_{e3} v_3, \]  
\[ e_{z3} = b_3 \sin s_3 + C_{e3} w_3, \]  
\[ e_{x4} = -(b_4/2) \cos \phi - b_4 \cos s_4 \cos \phi + C_{e4} u_4, \]  
\[ e_{y4} = -(b_4/2) \sin \phi - b_4 \cos s_4 \sin \phi + C_{e4} v_4, \]  
\[ e_{z4} = b_4 \sin s_4 + C_{e4} w_4. \]  

(17)

(18)

(19)

(20)

Here,

\[ C_{e1} = b_1 \sin(s_1 - s_c)/\cos \theta_{r1}, \]  
\[ C_{e2} = b_2 \sin(s_2 + s_c)/\cos \theta_{r2}, \]  
\[ C_{e3} = b_3 \sin s_3 / \cos \theta_{r3}, \]  
\[ C_{e4} = b_4 \sin s_4 / \cos \theta_{r4}. \]  

(21)

Expressions for the exchange factors with respect to different regions of the reflectors are given in Tables 2–5. Shading of reflectors for radiation to the collector is calculated similarly. Here, instead of taking reflected rays from points \( E_1 \) to \( E_4 \), we consider the incoming beam of solar radiation as passing from these points and falling on the collector. The position vectors of points for which the incoming beams are incident on the collector are
where
\[ e'_{s1} = -(a/2) \sin \phi - b_1 \cos \phi \cos \beta \cos \gamma, \]
\[ e'_{s2} = b_2 \sin \beta - C_{e2} \sin \beta, \]
\[ e'_{s3} = -(a/2) \sin \phi - b_3 \cos \phi \cos \beta \cos \gamma, \]
\[ e'_{s4} = b_4 \sin \beta - C_{e4} \sin \beta, \]
\[ e'_{s5} = -(a/2) \sin \phi - b_5 \cos \phi \cos \beta \cos \gamma, \]
\[ e'_{s6} = b_6 \sin \beta - C_{e6} \sin \beta. \]
Table 2. Values of the exchange factors with specified boundary conditions between the reflector R-1 and the collector for six different regions (defined by the boundary conditions).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Boundary conditions</th>
<th>Exchange factor ((f_{ni}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(R_1 \geq 0, S_1 \leq -0.5) ((R_1 + S_1W_1) &lt; 0.5W_1)</td>
<td>(0.5R_1/W_1(0.5 - S_1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(R_1 &gt; W_1, S_1 \leq 0.5) ((R_1 + S_1W_1) \geq 0.5W_1)</td>
<td>(0.5[2 - (0.5 - S_1)W_1/R_1])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(-0.5 \leq S_1 \leq 0.5) (0 \leq R_1 \leq W_1)</td>
<td>(0.5(1.5 + S_1)R_1/W_1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(S_1 &gt; 0.5, R_1 \geq W_1) ((R_1 - S_1W_1) &gt; -0.5W_1)</td>
<td>(0.5[2 - (S_1 - 0.5)W_1/R_1])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(0.5 &lt; S_1 \leq 1.5) (0 \leq R_1 &lt; W_1)</td>
<td>(0.5R_1(2.5 - S_1)/W_1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(S_1 &gt; 0.5, R_1 \geq 0) ((R_1 - S_1W_1) &lt; -0.5W_1)</td>
<td>(0.5R_1/W_1(S_1 - 0.5))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(S_1 = -(e_{11}/a)\sin \phi + (e_{12}/a)\cos \phi, R_1 = (e_{11}/a)\cos \phi + (e_{12}/a)\sin \phi, S'_1 = -(e'_{11}/a)\sin \phi + (e'_{12}/a)\cos \phi, R'_1 = (e'_{11}/a)\cos \phi + (e'_{12}/a)\sin \phi, W_1 = (b_1/a)\cos \phi_1,\)

\[e'_{11} = -(b_1/2)\sin \phi - b_{14}\cos \phi_1 \cos \phi - C_{e1}\cos \beta \sin \gamma, \quad (26)\]
\[e'_{12} = b_{15}\sin \phi_1 - C'_{e1}\sin \beta.\]

Here,

\[C_{e1} = b_{11}\sin(s_1 - s_2)/\cos \theta_e, \quad (27)\]
\[C_{e2} = b_{12}\sin(s_2 + s_1)/\cos \theta_e, \quad C'_{e1} = b_{15}\sin \phi_1/\cos \theta_e, \quad C'_{e2} = b_{16}\sin \phi_1/\cos \theta_e.\]

Table 3. Values of the exchange factors with specified boundary conditions between the reflector R-2 and the collector for six different regions (defined by the boundary conditions).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Boundary conditions</th>
<th>Exchange factor ((f_{n2}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(R_2 \leq 0, S_2 &lt; -0.5) ((R_2 + S_2W_2) &gt; 0.5W_2)</td>
<td>(0.5R_2/W_2(0.5 - S_2))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(R_2 &lt; W_2, S_2 \leq 0.5) ((R_2 + S_2W_2) &lt; 0.5W_2)</td>
<td>(0.5[2 - (0.5 - S_2)W_2/R_2])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(-0.5 \leq S_2 \leq 0.5) (0 \geq R_2 &gt; W_2)</td>
<td>(0.5(1.5 + S_2)R_2/W_2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(S_2 &gt; 0.5, R_2 \leq W_2) ((R_2 - S_2W_2) &lt; -0.5W_2)</td>
<td>(0.5[2 - (S_2 - 0.5)W_2/R_2])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(0.5 &lt; S_2 \leq 1.5) (0 \geq R_2 &gt; W_2)</td>
<td>(0.5R_2(2.5 - S_2)/W_2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(S_2 &gt; 0.5, R_2 \leq 0) ((R_2 - S_2W_2) &gt; -0.5W_2)</td>
<td>(0.5R_2/W_2(S_2 - 0.5))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(S_2 = -(e_{21}/a)\sin \phi + (e_{22}/a)\cos \phi, R_2 = (e_{21}/a)\cos \phi - (e_{22}/a)\sin \phi, S'_2 = (e'_{21}/a)\sin \phi + (e'_{22}/a)\cos \phi, R'_2 = (e'_{21}/a)\cos \phi - (e'_{22}/a)\sin \phi, W_2 = (b_2/a)\cos \phi_2,\)

\[e'_{21} = -(b_2/2)\sin \phi - b_{24}\cos \phi_2 \cos \phi - C_{e2}\cos \beta \sin \gamma, \quad (26)\]
\[e'_{22} = b_{25}\sin \phi_2 - C'_{e2}\sin \beta.\]
Table 4. Values of the exchange factors with specified boundary conditions between the reflector R-3 and the collector for six different regions (defined by the boundary conditions).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Boundary conditions</th>
<th>Exchange factor ((f_{3c}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( R_3 \leq 0, S_3 &lt; -0.5 ) ((R_3 + S_3W_3) &gt; 0.5W_3)</td>
<td>0.5(R_3/W_3(0.5-S_3))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( R_3 \leq W_3, S_3 \leq 0.5 ) ((R_3 + S_3W_3) &lt; 0.5W_3)</td>
<td>0.5[(2-(0.5-S_3)W_3/R_3)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(-0.5 \leq S_3 &lt; 0.5 ) (0 \geq R_3 &gt; W_3)</td>
<td>0.5(1.5 + (S_3R_3/W_3))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( S_3 &gt; 0.5, R_3 \leq W_3 ) ((R_3-S_3W_3) &lt; -0.5W_3)</td>
<td>0.5[(2-(S_3-0.5)W_3/R_3)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(0.5 &lt; S_3 &lt; 1.5 ) (0 &gt; R_3 &gt; W_3)</td>
<td>0.5(R_3(2.5-S_3)/W_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>( S_3 &gt; 0.5, R_3 \leq 0 ) ((R_3-S_3W_3) &gt; 0.5W_3)</td>
<td>0.5(R_3/W_3(S_3-0.5))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( S_3 = -(e_{x3}/a)\cos 4' - (e_{y3}/a)\sin 4', R_3 = -(e_{x3}/a)\sin 4' + (e_{y3}/a)\cos 4', S_3 = (e_{x3}/a)\cos 4' + (e_{y3}/a)\sin 4', W_3 = -1. \)

Table 5. Values of the exchange factors with specified boundary conditions between the reflector R-4 and the collector for six different regions (defined by the boundary conditions).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Boundary conditions</th>
<th>Exchange factor ((f_{4c}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( R_4 \geq 0, S_4 &lt; -0.5 ) ((R_4 + S_4W_4) &lt; 0.5W_4)</td>
<td>0.5(R_4/W_4(0.5-S_4))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( R_4 &gt; W_4, S_4 \leq 0.5 ) ((R_4 + S_4W_4) &gt; 0.5W_4)</td>
<td>0.5[(2-(0.5-S_4)W_4/R_4)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(-0.5 \leq S_4 \leq 0.5 ) (0 \leq R_4 &lt; W_4)</td>
<td>0.5(1.5 + (S_4R_4/W_4))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( S_4 &gt; 0.5, R_4 \geq W_4 ) ((R_4-S_4W_4) &gt; -0.5W_4)</td>
<td>0.5[(2-(S_4-0.5)W_4/R_4)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(0.5 &lt; S_4 &lt; 1.5 ) (0 &lt; R_4 &lt; W_4)</td>
<td>0.5(R_4(2.5-S_4)/W_4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>( S_4 &gt; 0.5, R_4 \leq 0 ) ((R_4-S_4W_4) &lt; -0.5W_4)</td>
<td>0.5(R_4/W_4(S_4-0.5))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( S_4 = -(e_{x4}/a)\sin 4' + (e_{y4}/a)\cos 4', R_4 = (e_{x4}/a)\cos 4' - (e_{y4}/a)\sin 4', S_4 = -(e_{x4}/a)\sin 4' - (e_{y4}/a)\cos 4', W_4 = -1. \)

The transmittance–absorptance product \((\tau \alpha)\) is

\[
(\tau \alpha) = 1.03 - 0.11/\cos \theta_c \text{ for } \cos \theta_c \geq 0.175, \quad (\tau \alpha) = 3.694 - 2.353\theta_c \text{ for } \cos \theta_c < 0.175. \quad (28)
\]

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Numerical calculations have been carried out for May and December in a typical year for Delhi. The collector tilt with respect to the horizontal is zero degree \((s_c = 0^\circ)\) and the system faces due south \((\phi = 0^\circ)\). Numerical values for other parameters are \(a = 1.0\,\text{m}, \quad b_x = b_{x1} = b_{x2} = b_{x3} = b_{x4} = 1.0\,\text{m}\). The values of \(s_{r1}, s_{r2}, s_{r3}\) and \(s_{r4}\) are selected for maximum solar gain. The analysis has three steps.

**Step I**—The tilts of reflectors R-3 and R-4 \((s_{r3} \text{ and } s_{r4})\) with respect to the horizontal are \(0^\circ\). The total...
Energy \((Q)\) absorbed by the collector during sunshine hours is plotted in Fig. 3 as functions of \(s_{r1}\) and \(s_{r2}\) for December. The optimum tilts of reflectors R-1 and R-2 with respect to the horizontal are 100 and 20°, respectively. Figure 4 is used to evaluate optimum tilts for reflectors R-1 and R-2 for May; the optimum values of \(s_{r1}\) and \(s_{r2}\) for maximum solar gain on the collector for May are both 60°.

**Step II**—The tilts of reflectors R-1 and R-2 (\(s_{r1}\) and \(s_{r2}\)) remain fixed at their optimum values for December and May as found in Step I. The tilts of reflectors R-3 and R-4 (\(s_{r3}\) and \(s_{r4}\)) are varied to make the solar gain on the collector a maximum. Figures 5 and 6 show the effects of reflectors R-3 and R-4 on the collector's performance for different values of \(s_{r3}\) and \(s_{r4}\).
and R-4 on the solar gain of the collector for December and May, respectively. It is evident that the increase in the solar gain of the collector caused by reflectors R-3 and R-4 is negligible. This result is due to the fact that when the solar gain from R-3 increases, that from R-4 decreases because of shadowing and conversely.

**Step III**—The values of $s_{t1}$ and $s_{t2}$ are again fixed at their optimum values while $s_{t3}$ and $s_{t4}$ are varied as in Step II. Shadowing of reflectors R-3 and R-4 is avoided if, before noon, $s_{t3} = 0^\circ$ and, for the afternoon, $s_{t4} = 0^\circ$. The increases in the solar gain for these conditions due to reflectors R-3 and R-4

---

**Fig. 5.** Variation of the total energy absorbed by the collector with $s_{t3}$ for different values of $s_{t4}$ for December; $s_c = 0^\circ$, $s_{t1} = 100^\circ$, $s_{t2} = 20^\circ$.

**Fig. 6.** Variation of the total energy absorbed by the collector with $s_{t3}$ for different values of $s_{t4}$ for May; $s_c = 0^\circ$, $s_{t1} = 60^\circ$, $s_{t2} = 60^\circ$. 
for December and May are plotted in Figs. 7 and 8. It is seen that reflectors R-3 and R-4 are more effective in May than in December. The increase in the solar gain reaches 36% in May and 56% in December. Table 6 shows comparisons of the percentages of solar gains for the three steps taken.

Fig. 7. Variation of the total energy absorbed by the collector with $s_{r3}$ for different values of $s_{e4}$ for December; $s_e = 0^\circ$, $s_{r1} = 100^\circ$, $s_{r3} = 20^\circ$; before 12 noon, $s_{r3} = 0^\circ$ and after 12 noon, $s_{r4} = 0^\circ$.

Fig. 8. Variation of the total energy absorbed by the collector with $s_{r3}$ for different values of $s_{e4}$ for May; $s_e = 0^\circ$, $s_{r3} = 60^\circ$, $s_{e4} = 60^\circ$; before 12 noon, $s_{r3} = 0^\circ$ and after 12 noon, $s_{e4} = 0^\circ$. 
Collector solar-gain enhancement

Table 6. Percentage increments of the solar gain on the collector for selected conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Month</th>
<th>$s_1(°)$</th>
<th>$s_2(°)$</th>
<th>$s_3(°)$</th>
<th>$s_4(°)$</th>
<th>$Q_0$ (W/m²)</th>
<th>$Q_m$ (W/m²)</th>
<th>Solar gain (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>4591</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6836</td>
<td>7761</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>4591</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6707</td>
<td>7673</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>5007</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>6836</td>
<td>9247</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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NOMENCLATURE

\( a = \) Common length of the collector and reflectors R-1 and R-2
\( A, B, C = \) Solar constants given in Table 1
\( b_c = \) Common length of the collector and reflectors R-3 and R-4
\( b_{11}, b_{12}, b_{13}, b_{14} = \) Widths of reflectors R-1, R-2, R-3, and R-4
\( C_{11}, C_{22}, C_{33}, C_{44} = \) Scalar magnitudes of the vectors \( \vec{E}_1 F_1, \vec{E}_2 F_2, \vec{E}_3 F_3, \) and \( \vec{E}_4 F_4, \) respectively
\( \vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \vec{e}_3, \vec{e}_4 = \) Unit vectors given in Eq. 16.
\( e_{11}, e_{12} = \) Horizontal components of the vector \( \vec{e}_1\)
\( e_{22}, e_{23} = \) Horizontal components of the vector \( \vec{e}_2\)
\( e_{33}, e_{34} = \) Horizontal components of the vector \( \vec{e}_3\)
\( e_{44}, e_{45} = \) Horizontal components of the vector \( \vec{e}_4\)
\( f_c = \) Collector–sky shape factor
\( f_e = \) Exchange factor between the collector and reflector
\( H = \) Hour angle
\( I = \) Incident solar intensity (W/m²)
\( i, j, k = \) Unit vectors along the x, y, and z axes, respectively
\( L = \) Latitude of the location
\( N = \) Day of the year
\( n_b = \) Unit vector in the direction of beam radiation
\( \vec{n}_c = \) Unit vector normal to the collector surface
\( n_{11}, n_{12}, n_{13}, n_{14} = \) Unit vectors normal to reflectors R-1, R-2, R-3, and R-4, respectively
\( \vec{n}_{21}, \vec{n}_{22}, \vec{n}_{23}, \vec{n}_{24} = \) Unit vectors in the direction of the reflected beams from reflectors R-1, R-2, R-3, and R-4, respectively
\( Q = \) Total energy absorbed by the collector per unit collector area (W/m²)
\( Q_o, Q_m = \) Total energy absorbed by the collector during sunshine hours without reflectors and with reflectors (W/m²), respectively
$S_{bc1}$, $S_{bc2}$, $S_{bc3}$, $S_{bc4} = \text{Shading factors on collectors due to reflectors R-1, R-2, R-3, and R-4, respectively}$

$S_{c}, S_{r1}, S_{r2}, S_{r3}, S_{r4} = \text{Tilts of the collector and reflectors R-1, R-2, R-3, and R-4 with respect to the horizontal, respectively}$

$u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1} = \text{Components of the unit vector } \vec{n}_{c}$

$u_{2}, v_{2}, w_{2} = \text{Components of the unit vector } \vec{n}_{r1}$

$u_{3}, v_{3}, w_{3} = \text{Components of the unit vector } \vec{n}_{r2}$

$u_{4}, v_{4}, w_{4} = \text{Components of the unit vector } \vec{n}_{r3}$

Greek letters

$\beta = \text{Solar altitude angle}$

$\gamma = \text{Solar azimuth angle}$

$\delta = \text{Solar declination}$

$\phi = \text{Wall azimuth angle of the collector and reflectors measured east of south}$

$\rho = \text{Reflectivity of the reflectors}$

$\theta_{c}, \theta_{r1}, \theta_{r2}, \theta_{r3}, \theta_{r4} = \text{Angles of incidence of beam radiation on the collector and reflectors R-1, R-2, R-3, and R-4, respectively}$

$\theta_{bc1}, \theta_{bc2}, \theta_{bc3}, \theta_{bc4} = \text{Angles of incidence for the reflected beam from reflectors R-1, R-2, R-3 and R-4 and falling on the collector, respectively}$

$\tau_{\alpha} = \text{Transmittance–absorptance product}$

Subscripts

$bc = \text{Beam radiation on the collector}$

$br = \text{Beam radiation on the reflectors}$

$c = \text{Collector}$

$cs = \text{Collector–sky}$

$DN = \text{Normal components of direct radiation}$

$dc = \text{Diffuse radiation on the collector}$

$r1, r2, r3, r4 = \text{Stand for reflectors R-1, R-2, R-3, and R-4, respectively}$

$rc1, rc2, rc3, rc4 = \text{Rays reflected from reflectors R-1, R-2, R-3, and R-4 and falling on the collector, respectively}$